

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/041,725 | VON ARX ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | George Manuel | 3762 | |

All Participants:

(1) George Manuel.

(2) Suneel Arora.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103

Claims discussed:

1, 13, 19, 27, 31 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

Duffin et al '976


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed Applicant would fax an amendment to claims 13, 27, 31 and 35 reflecting the changes to the claims discussed to avoid the prior art of record.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)